00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
0000000Molecular Clock 000000000000000000000000000000000000
0000000000000000000Behaviorism000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD
"0000000"00000000000000000000000000000
00 Demis Hassabis 000000 any problem000000000000000000000000000000000000
DD AlphaCode DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDAlphabet/Google DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD

Universal Approximation Theorem Nash Embedding Theorems One word-embedding Vector Space

00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
10000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000

30000000000000000000000000000000000000
30000000000000000000000000000000000000
30000000000000000000000000000000000000
30000000000000000000000000000000000000
Deep Learning reinforcement learning
Demis Hassabis [potentially a meta-solution to any problem[potentially potentially a meta-solution to any problem[potentially potentially a meta-solution to any problem[potentially pot
]
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
0000000000000005G/6G0Starlink
30000000000000000000000000000000000000
30000000000000000000000000000000000000

000000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
0000"00000"000000"00000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
000000000"00000"0000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000

First, if scientists have tried, and failed, to come up with an alternative theory that explains a phenomenon well, that counts as evidence in favor of the original theory. Second, if a theory keeps seeming like a better idea the more you study it, that's another plus-one. And if a line of thought produced a theory that evidence later supported, chances are it will again.

□□□□□□ Are there really many worlds in the "Many-worlds interpretation" of Quantum Mechanics?□□□the development of «decoherence theory» revealed that, using the standard formalism of quantum mechanics, macroscopically distinct branches of the wavefunction were almost entirely free from interference and evolve approximately classically□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□
$ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$

0000"000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000

00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{c} \square \square$
D-wave Google Quantum Supremacy D D D D D D D D D

